Supergroup C Wolbachia, mutualist symbionts of filarial nematodes, have a distinct genome structure
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Wolbachia pipientis is possibly the most widespread endosymbiont of arthropods and nematodes. While all Wolbachia strains have historically been defined as a single species, 16 monophyletic clusters of diversity (called supergroups) have been described. Different supergroups have distinct host ranges and symbiotic relationships, ranging from mutualism to reproductive manipulation. In filarial nematodes, which include parasites responsible for major diseases of humans (such as Onchocerca volvulus, agent of river blindness) and companion animals (Dirofilaria immitis, the dog heartworm), Wolbachia has an obligate mutualist role and is the target of new treatment regimens. Here, we compare the genomes of eight Wolbachia strains, spanning the diversity of the major supergroups (A–F), analysing synteny, transposable element content, GC skew and gene loss or gain. We detected genomic features that differ between Wolbachia supergroups, most notably in the C and D clades from filarial nematodes. In particular, strains from supergroup C (symbionts of O. volvulus and D. immitis) present a pattern of GC skew, conserved synteny and lack of transposable elements, unique in the Wolbachia genus. These features could be the consequence of a distinct symbiotic relationship between C Wolbachia strains and their hosts, highlighting underappreciated differences between the mutualistic supergroups found within filarial nematodes.

1. Background

Wolbachia is one of the most widespread and studied genera of intracellular bacteria, encompassing endosymbionts of arthropods and nematodes [1,2]. All Wolbachia strains have historically been classified into a single species, Wolbachia pipientis [3,4]. This species, however, on the basis of single gene and multi-locus phylogenies [5,6], has been divided into 16 monophyletic supergroups, labelled A–Q (as supergroup G is possibly an artefact we have not included it in the total of 16 considered here) [4,7,8]. The (A,B),(D,(C,F)) phylogenetic relationship among the most studied supergroups has recently been confirmed using whole-genome phylogenetic approaches, albeit only on a limited number of strains [9–11]. The taxonomic status of the major Wolbachia lineages is contentious [4,12]. While a ranking to species level has recently been proposed [13,14] based on genome analyses, this pivotal change in Wolbachia classification...
does not include all current supergroups and remains to be accepted by the *Wolbachia* community. Thus, in this work, we have used the historical *Wolbachia* nomenclature (one species, 16 supergroups).

The different *Wolbachia* supergroups are associated with distinct sets of hosts in arthropoda and nematoda. The nature of the association between *Wolbachia* strains and their hosts also varies greatly. The symbiosis between C and D supergroup strains and their filarial nematode hosts presents features associated with mutualism, including 100% prevalence [15], strict vertical inheritance [1,6] and metabolic integration [17–19]. Because filarial nematodes are responsible for major neglected tropical diseases of humans (including onchocerciasis or river blindness, caused by *Onchocerca volvulus*), and lymphatic filariasis, caused by *Brugia malayi* among other species), alongside an important infection of companion animals (heartworm, caused by *Dirofilaria immitis*), this obligate relationship has been exploited for novel anti-filarial treatments, such that the nematodes are sterilized or killed by antibiotics [20–22]. In contrast, A and B supergroup anti-filarial treatments, such that the nematodes are sterilized or killed by antibiotics [20–22]. In contrast, A and B supergroup anti-filarial treatments, such that the nematodes are sterilized or killed by antibiotics [20–22].

A recent genomic study, focused on two strains of *Wolbachia* belonging to either supergroup A or B and co-infecting *Drosophila simulans*, showed a lack of genetic exchange, suggesting their genetic isolation [14]. Are these results by Ellegaard et al. unique within the genus, or is genetic isolation common among *Wolbachia* lineages? If the different supergroups experienced independent evolution, then we can expect their genomes to present specific features as a consequence of their independent evolutionary histories.

*Wolbachia* strains have reduced genome size, a feature observed in most endosymbiont bacteria [25–27]. The process of genome reduction in endosymbionts can be classified in four stages [28], as follows. (i) Free-living bacteria: large genome size, few transposable elements, gene acquisition and loss, intraspecies recombinations. (ii) Recently host-restricted bacteria: genome size smaller than free-living bacteria, many transposable elements, chromosome rearrangements and loss of genomic regions. (iii) Long-term obligate symbionts: further reduced genome size, stable chromosome and few or no transposable elements. (iv) Tiny-genome symbionts: very small genome size and high chromosome stability.

In this work, we compared the genomes of *Wolbachia* strains belonging to the A–D and F supergroups, in order to identify conserved and variable genomic features. We considered intragenomic recombinations, transposable elements, chromosome rearrangements, mutational bias and gene loss or gain. We found that *Wolbachia* strains belonging to supergroup C have conserved and distinct genomic features, probably the result of extensive periods of independent evolution.

2. Methods

2.1. Dataset

The genome assemblies of eight *Wolbachia* strains belonging to A–D and F supergroups (wMel, wRi, wPipPel, wDi, wOo, wBm, wLs and wCle) and of seven other Alphaproteobacteria (*Caulobacter crescentus* strain CB15, Cre; *Anaplasma centrale* strain Israel, Ace; *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* strain HZ, Aph; *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* strain Arkansas, Ech; *Ehrlichia ruminantium* strain Gardel, Era; *Neorickettsia risticii* strain Illinois, Nri; *Neorickettsia sennetsu* strain Miyayama, Nse) were retrieved from public database (for more information about genome features, see table 1). *Caulobacter crescentus* was chosen because it is a complete genome of an alphaproteobacterium for which origin and terminus of replication were experimentally determined [29]. The genome assemblies included in the study are all complete or almost complete, with the exception of the genome of wLs, which is divided into 10 contigs. We included the genome of wLs in the study as a second representative of the nematode-associated *Wolbachia* supergroup D.

2.2. Origin of replication and genome orientation

The genomes of the *Wolbachia* strains included in the study were aligned with PROGRESSIVE MAUVE [30]. For each genome, the position of the origin of replication (ORI) was inferred on the basis of the wMel and wBm ORI positions proposed by Ioannidis et al. [31]. Each genome assembly was oriented following the wMel and wBm ORI orientation, and organized to start with the ORI position. Below, we refer to these reorganized genomes as ‘ORI-starting’ genomes.

2.3. Analysis of genome rearrangements

Pairwise genome alignments of the wMel, wRi, wPipPel, wDi, wOo, wBm, wLs and wCle *Wolbachia* strains were produced and plotted with the software MUMMER v. 3.0 [32].

2.4. Transposable elements

Insertion sequences (ISs) and group II introns were identified and annotated in wDi (C supergroup), wLs (D supergroup) and wCle (F supergroup). Group II introns were identified following the methods of Leclercq et al. [33]. Is elements were identified using ISSAGA [34], followed by manual curation of ISSAGA output files. For wLs, most ISSAGA hits were short and often formed groups of two to four hits located next to each other. This is typical of pseudo-genized and degraded IS elements. We attributed two consecutive hits to the same or to distinct IS copies using the following rules:

1. IS family: if the two hits belong to different IS families, then they belong to distinct copies. Otherwise, go to criterion (2).
2. Orientation: if the two hits are in opposite orientation, then they belong to distinct copies. Otherwise, go to criterion (3).
3. Physical distance: if distance between the two hits is greater than 300 bp, then they belong to distinct copies. Otherwise, they belong to the same copy.

2.5. GC skew

The cumulative GC skew curve was calculated for each of the ORI-starting *Wolbachia* genome assemblies. It was calculated applying the formula $2G - C/G + C$, with a window size of...
The effect of mutational bias on the guanine and cytosine distribution along the genomes of Wolbachia strains C and F (wDi, wOo—C supergroup; wCle—F supergroup) was evaluated using Wolbachia strains A, B and D (wMel, wRi—A supergroup; wPipPel—B supergroup; wLS—D supergroup) as outgroups. A dataset of single-copy orthologous genes, shared among all the eight Wolbachia strains included in the study, was obtained with OrthomCL [35] and in-house Perl scripts. Nucleotide gene sequences were aligned on the corresponding amino acid alignments, using MUSCLE [36] and in-house Perl scripts. For each gene, the number of mutations towards G and towards C for third position residues was evaluated for each pair of Wolbachia strains, using a custom Perl script. The mutational biases along wDi, wOo and wCle genomes were evaluated comparing each of them against all the other seven Wolbachia strains included in the study. The mutational biases on the Watson (forward) and Crick (reverse) strands (sensu lato) were evaluated by calculating the respective bias indexes. For genes located on the Watson strand, the bias index was computed as the ratio between the number of mutations towards G and the number of mutations towards C. Conversely, for genes located on the Crick strand, the bias index was computed as the ratio of the number of mutations towards C and the number of mutations towards G. The average of the middle positions of the genes with bias index more than one and less than one were compared with the Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test. For genes included in the study and of six Anaplasmataceae outgroups, against the clusters of orthologous groups (COGs) database by PSI-BLAST with a p-value cut-off of 10^{-5}. The loss and gain events occurred in the genome of the ancestor of Wolbachia supergroup C were inferred using the Gloome tool [37], mapping the pattern of
presence/absence of functional COG annotations on a phylogenetic tree reconstructed from the literature [9–11,38]. The GLOOME tool confers a probability value to each inferred event. Only events with a probability greater than 75% were considered reliable and thus manually checked.

3. Results

We are interested in the evolutionary dynamics of Wolbachia, an important genus of intracellular bacteria. Here, we explore the genomic signatures in eight Wolbachia strains from supergroups A to F, including intragenomic recombination, transposable elements, GC skew curve, mutational bias and gene loss or gain. We focus specifically on differences between two supergroup C genomes, wDi (from the dog heartworm, D. immitis) and wOo (from Onchocerca ochengi, a bovine parasite very closely related to O. volvulus); and two supergroup D genomes, wBm (from a human lymphatic filariasis parasite, B. malayi) and wLs (from a filarial model of rodents, Litomosoides sigmodontis).

3.1. Intragenomic recombinations

Wolbachia genomes have been reported to have undergone extensive rearrangement in comparison with other Rickettsiales [39]. We analysed eight genome assemblies belonging to Wolbachia strains from supergroups A to F [9,18,40–42]. An alignment of these high-quality genomic assemblies revealed conservation of synteny among the supergroup C genomes wDi and wOo, in marked contrast with very low levels of synteny within and between the other supergroups (figure 1). However, the wMel and wRi genomes also show conserved synteny, probably a consequence of their low evolutionary distance [9,42].

3.2. Transposable elements

Syntenic breakage and recombination is often associated with repeats and transposable elements. We therefore screened the Wolbachia genomes for classes of transposable element (electronic supplementary material, table S1; figure 2). We found no group II introns in the wDi (C supergroup) and wLs (D supergroup) genomes. However, ISs had a striking, disjointed pattern of presence. While wDi had only a single IS (similar to ISWpi16), wLs contained 210 IS copies. Supergroup A and B arthropod Wolbachia genomes also have many IS elements [43], albeit fewer than wLs. IS elements cover nearly 12% of the wLs genome, a higher percentage than in any other Wolbachia genome sequenced to date. Despite their high copy number, all wLs IS copies appear to be degraded and there is no apparent ‘live’ transpositional activity. Remarkably, 97% of the wLs IS copies (204/210) belong to a single IS (similar to ISWpi16), wLs contained 210 IS copies. Supergroup A and B arthropod Wolbachia genomes also have many IS elements [43], albeit fewer than wLs. IS elements cover nearly 12% of the wLs genome, a higher percentage than in any other Wolbachia genome sequenced to date. Despite their high copy number, all wLs IS copies appear to be degraded and there is no apparent ‘live’ transpositional activity. Remarkably, 97% of the wLs IS copies (204/210) belong to a single IS type (ISWpi10). The six remaining copies belong to ISWpi5 (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Interestingly, the genome of wCle (F supergroup) is characterized by a high density (10%) and diversity (11 different types) of IS elements and the presence of group II introns (electronic supplementary material, table S1).

Comparing the D supergroup genomes, no IS copy was found to be inserted at an orthologous site, despite the high
number of IS copies. By contrast, in supergroup C, the single IS copy found in wDi is orthologous to the ISWpi16 copy found in wOo.

3.3. GC skew and mutational bias

Another feature described as characteristic of arthropod Wolbachia genomes is the absence of strong GC skew [39], in contrast with the pattern commonly observed in most free-living bacteria and in endosymbiotic bacteria such as Buchnera aphidicola [44,45]. The cumulative GC skew curve of the seven completely sequenced Wolbachia genomes included in the study (wMel, wRi, wPipPel, wDi, wOo, wBm and wCle) and of the Alphaproteobacterium outgroup, Caulobacter crescentus, were calculated (figure 2). In agreement with previous analyses on a smaller dataset [39], most Wolbachia genomes do not present any genome-wide pattern of GC skew (figure 3). However, the wDi genome has a strong pattern of GC skew (figure 3), which, among endosymbionts, is typically observed in bacteria with extremely reduced genomes.

This pattern of cumulative GC skew in wDi could have originated uniquely in wDi or could be an ancestral feature of Wolbachia, lost by most lineages. To test the hypothesis that the wDi GC skew pattern is ancestral, we evaluated whether its absence in the other six complete Wolbachia genomes included in the study could have been caused by genome rearrangements. We reordered each genome to conform the wDi gene order and recalculated the GC skew on the ‘pseudo-ancestral’ genome (figure 4). While rearrangement of supergroup A–C and F genomes did not reveal any hidden GC skew pattern, in the rearranged wOo genome (belonging to the C supergroup), we observed a trend similar to that of wDi (figure 4). No better fit was observed between native wDi and the other five rearranged Wolbachia genomes included in the analysis (wMel, wRi, wPipPel, wBm and wCle; electronic supplementary material, figure S1).

Based on the GC skew analysis presented above, the occurrence of genome rearrangements could explain the difference in GC distribution between wDi and the other C supergroup Wolbachia genome included in the study (i.e. wOo), but cannot explain the differences between wDi and the genomes of strains belonging to other supergroups. We thus hypothesized that, during the evolution of the C supergroup, a mutational bias led to the asymmetric distribution of GC observed in the wDi genome. Indeed, in the wDi genome, the Watson strand of the genes localized on the first part of the genome tends to be mutated towards G more than towards C, opposite to what was detected in the genes localized on the second part of the genome, as shown in figure 5.

GC skew is thought to arise from biased substitution processes driven by the replicational structure of the circular chromosome. This model explains the opposite mutational biases observed in the genes in the first and in the second part of the wDi genome (figure 5a). Following this model,
Figure 4. Cumulative GC skew curves of six reoriented Wolbachia genomes (red) compared with the wDi genome (blue). Genomes were reordered on the basis of the wDi gene order using a progressiveMAUVE genome alignment.

we infer that the position of the mutational bias switch, near the middle of the wDi genome (figure 5a), corresponds to the position of the terminus of replication, but this should be verified experimentally. No mutational bias was observed for the other analysed strains, wOo (supergroup C) and wCle (supergroup F; figure 5b,c).

3.4. Gene loss and gain in the C Wolbachia ancestor

Wolbachia genomes vary in size from approximately 0.9 to approximately 1.4 Mb. These size differences could have arisen from either gain of genetic material (including transposable elements and phages) or loss, or both. Gene loss and gain have a strong impact on Wolbachia strains’ metabolic capability. Indeed, the genome stability observed in C Wolbachia strains, in particular in the wDi strain, could be the consequence of specific events of gene loss occurring during the evolution of Wolbachia supergroup C.

We identified the putative events of gene loss and gain in the ancestor of the Wolbachia supergroup C, on the basis of the COG annotation of the genomes of the 14 Anaplasmataceae strains included in the study (of which eight belong to Wolbachia, two to Anaplasmataceae, two to Ehrlichia and two to Neorickettsia). Mapping this COG presence/absence pattern on the Anaplasmataceae tree, 22 loss events and no gain events were inferred at node of the C Wolbachia strain ancestor (figure 6; electronic supplementary material, table S2). The replication, recombination and repair pathway was affected by a particularly intense erosion process, from which the C Wolbachia ancestor lost eight members (figure 6; electronic supplementary material, table S3).

4. Discussion

Bacteria belonging to the alphaproteobacterial genus Wolbachia have been classified into 16 supergroups, mainly on the basis of 16S rDNA phylogenetic analyses. This classification groups Wolbachia strains coherently with the host taxonomy and ecology. Phylogenomic analyses have further organized most of the Wolbachia diversity into two monophyletic clusters of supergroups: (A + B) and (C + D + F) [9–11]. While recombination has been observed between strains belonging to the same supergroup, each supergroup may be relatively genetically isolated. Indeed, no recombination was detected between wHa (supergroup A) and wNo (supergroup B), despite their coinfection of the same arthropod species [14]. We can expect that Wolbachia strains belonging to a genetically isolated supergroup should present conserved genomic signatures, as a consequence of their independent evolutionary patterns. We sought to detect structural genomic differences between supergroups, with a particular focus on the (C + D + F) cluster.

Early comparisons of Wolbachia genomes revealed an extreme lack of synteny between strains from supergroups A and B, and wBm (supergroup D) [39]. Several additional Wolbachia genomes belonging to supergroups C, D and F are now available: specifically wDi and wOo (supergroup C), wLs (supergroup D) and wCle (supergroup F). This has allowed us to further investigate synteny patterns in the (C + D + F) cluster. Here, we find that the genomes of supergroup C show an elevated level of synteny, compared with the supergroup D genomes included in the study (figure 1). This disjointed pattern suggests that supergroup D genomes may be evolving differently from those of the strains of supergroup
IS elements are present in extremely variable numbers in different bacterial lineages, and are known to promote intragenomic recombination, causing the interruption of synteny conservation [46]. Wolbachia genomes vary dramatically in terms of their IS content. Supergroup C genomes show a paucity of IS elements, whereas genomes of supergroups A, B, D and F have many IS elements, a pattern consistent with a possible role for IS in synteny breakage in some Wolbachia genomes. The low number of IS elements observed in the C Wolbachia genomes (ranging from one to six—see electronic supplementary material, table S1) is consistent with the amounts observed in genomes of other long-term, vertically inherited obligate symbionts [28]. Conversely, the genomes of arthropod Wolbachia strains included in the study (strains from supergroups A, B and F) contain a higher number of IS elements (ranging from 105 to 181—see electronic supplementary material, table S1), many of which are potentially capable of transposition. This is typical of endosymbionts that undergo at least some horizontal transmission [47]. Interestingly, supergroup D genomes (wBm and wLs) contain a high number of IS elements (respectively 52 and 210—see electronic supplementary material, table S1), but they are all disrupted and on their way to being lost, as part of the reductive genome evolution of these vertically inherited endosymbionts [28]. This is consistent with a scenario in which IS transpositional activity ceased a long time ago in these Wolbachia strains, as previously noted for other endosymbionts with a similar lifestyle [28].

In general, lifestyle is thought to be a major factor influencing mobile DNA evolution in intracellular bacteria [47,48]. In Wolbachia, the mutualistic supergroup C and D strains are only vertically inherited in their nematode hosts, whereas supergroup A and B strains experience a combination of vertical and horizontal transmission. Horizontal transmission should enable more frequent contact and genetic exchanges with other microorganisms, thereby maintaining a flux of intact IS copies and generating higher IS diversity. The supergroup F genome (from wCle) is also from a strain exhibiting mutualistic interactions with its host, but wCle displays high IS diversity, like the non-mutualistic supergroup A and B strains. This suggests that wCle might have recently shifted to mutualism and still shows transposable element patterns of its non-mutualistic ancestor.

Figure 5. GC mutational bias. The figure displays information about the (a) wDi, (b) wOo and (c) wCle genomes, including GC skew, cumulative GC skew and mutational bias calculated using the wBm genome as reference (see Material and methods). In each graph, the position along the genome is reported on the x-axis; the GC skew curve is reported with an orange line and the cumulative GC skew with a red line. Genes shared among all the Wolbachia strains included in the study are represented with blue/azure coloured points: blue genes have a positive GC mutational bias index, whereas azure genes have a negative GC mutational bias index (see Materials and methods). The horizontal boxplots indicate the average position of genes with positive GC mutational bias index (blue) and with negative GC mutational bias index (azure).
Intragenomic recombinations can affect the distribution of guanine and cytosine along bacterial genomes. Studies on free-living bacterial genomes showed that in many cases, during genome replication, the Watson and Crick strands are subjected to asymmetric cumulative mutation pressures [49,50]. Indeed, intragenomic recombinations randomize the cumulative effect of this mutation pressure. For this reason, the strong asymmetry distribution of cytosine and guanine observed in the \( w^{Di} \) genome (figure 3) suggests that it experienced a long period of chromosome stability, in contrast with other \( Wolbachia \) genomes. We reordered the other \( Wolbachia \) genomes and compared them with \( w^{Di} \) to identify any residual ancestral GC skew signatures that had not yet been erased during subsequent evolution. The reoriented \( w^{Oo} \) genome showed stronger GC skew than the natively ordered genome, albeit less pronounced than that of \( w^{Di} \), and was more similar to the \( w^{Di} \) curve than that of other reoriented \( Wolbachia \) genomes (figure 4).

The analysis of mutational bias on the Watson strand of the \( w^{Di} \) genome shows that on the genes localized in the first part of the \( w^{Di} \) genome, mutations towards G are positively selected in comparison with mutations towards C, whereas an inverse pattern is seen in the genes localized on the second part of the \( w^{Di} \) genome (figure 5). The combination between high genome stability and GC mutational bias probably led to the current asymmetrical distribution of GC along the \( w^{Di} \) genome. Interestingly, just a weak GC mutational bias can be observed in the \( w^{Oo} \) genome (figure 5), which currently maintains the GC distribution originated during the evolution of the \( w^{Oo}-w^{Di} \) ancestor. This result suggests that the \( w^{Oo} \) genome replicates with a very low mutation rate: not enough to generate significant mutational bias, but also not enough to erase the ancestral GC distribution signal conserved in the \( w^{Di} \) genome.

Klasson & Andersson [45] described an asymmetric distribution of G and C in the genome of the aphid endosymbiont \( B. aphidicola \), and hypothesized that the lack of \( recA \) and mutational bias could be the causes of this GC distribution pattern. Indeed, intragenomic recombination can lead to bacterial death, in the absence of an adequate homologous recombination pathway. \( recA \), one of the most important genes involved in the homologous recombination pathway, is lacking in all supergroup C genomes [18,51]. By contrast, in supergroup D, the homologous recombination pathway is complete in the only closed genome available, \( w^{Bm} \) [40,51], supporting the hypothesis of higher genome plasticity. However, \( w^{Bm} \) may be exceptional, as other supergroup D genomes appear to have a deficient homologous recombination pathway [51]. It must be noted that these genomes are not closed, thus additional complete genome sequences from supergroup D strains are needed to determine whether \( w^{Bm} \) is unusual in its \( recA \) status and rearrangement history.

Is the \( w^{Di} \) genome representative of the ancestor of all \( Wolbachia \)? We suggest not. It is likely that the loss of the \( recA \) pathway in the last common ancestor of supergroup C and the general loss of IS elements resulted in a halt to genome rearrangement, and this stability then permitted a build-up of GC skew and mutational bias in the stabilized genome. Limited subsequent rearrangements observed in \( w^{Oo} \) have obscured, but not erased, the signatures of evolutionary stability.

The process of gene loss is one of the most important phenomena in the evolution of intracellular bacteria [52].

**Figure 6.** COG classification of the genes identified as putatively lost during the evolution of the \( w^{Di} \) and \( w^{Oo} \) ancestor.
Within the Wolbachia genus, this process is exacerbated in filarial strains, where gene acquisition from other bacterial species has not been described. In our analysis, recA was identified as being lost from supergroup C, as expected, but we also identified a number of other losses in the supergroup C lineage associated with a variety of other processes. The physiological linkage between these gene losses, if any, is unclear.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, our analyses present evidence supporting the hypothesis that Wolbachia supergroups are not just phylogenetic lineages. Evidence of genetic isolation and convergent evolution had been reported for two strains belonging to Wolbachia supergroups A and B [14]. Here, we report evidence that supergroup C strains share a suite of genomic features (very low number of genomic rearrangements, paucity of IS elements, strong GC asymmetric distribution) that is commonly observed in endosymbiotic bacteria with extremely reduced genomes, which have long-lasting relationships with their host. These features are absent in the other lineages of Wolbachia included in the study. Genomic analyses enabled us to infer the evolutionary pathway that originated this suite of features. Our results are not sufficient to conclude if the different genomic features observed in C and D supergroup genomes are the result of different selective pressures, or if the two supergroups are in two different stages of the genome reduction process typical of bacterial endosymbionts. Additional genomes will help to shed light on this matter.

Nematode Wolbachia strains live in mutualistic association with the host, and are considered important targets for anti-filarial pharmaceutical treatments [41]. In this work, we report genomic evidence that C and D Wolbachia supergroup strains experienced a long period of independent evolution. We can hypothesize that the observed differences between the C and D Wolbachia strain genomes are a consequence of different specific symbiotic relationships with the filarial hosts, probably resulting in specific host–Wolbachia metabolic complementarities. If our results are supported by analyses of additional Wolbachia genomes, the mutualism of C and D Wolbachia strains with filarial nematodes should be considered separately, with potential implications for anti-Wolbachia strategies, as drugs effective against one supergroup may not always be equally potent against the other.
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